arXiv:1508.01920v1 [math.RT] 8 Aug 2015

PRESENTING AFFINE SCHUR ALGEBRAS

QIANG FU AND MINGQIANG LIU

ABSTRACT. The universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ was realized in [2, Ch. 6] using affine Schur algebras. In particular some explicit multiplication formulas in affine Schur algebras were derived. We use these formulas to study the structure of affine Schur algebras. In particular, we give a presentation of the affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Beilinson, Lusztig, and MacPherson (BLM) gave a geometric realization for the quantum enveloping algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ via q-Schur algebras in [1]. The remarkable BLM's work has many applications. Using BLM's work, it was proved in [5] that the natural algebra homomorphism from the Lusztig integral form of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ to the q-Schur algebra over $\mathcal{Z}$ is surjective, where $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$. The integral form of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ was realized in [12] and the Frobenius–Lusztig kernel of type A was realized in [13]. Furthermore, BLM's work can be used to investigate the presentation of q-Schur algebras (cf. [3, 9]).

The affine quantum Schur algebra is the affine version of the q-Schur algebra and it has several equivalent definitions (see [15, 16, 17]). Let $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the quantum enveloping algebra of the loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. A conjecture about the realization of $\mathbf{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ using affine quantum Schur algebras was formulated in [6, 5.5(2)]. This conjecture has been proved in the classical ($v = 1$) case in [2, Ch. 6], and in the quantum case in [7]. These results have important application to the investigation of the integral affine quantum Schur–Weyl reciprocity (cf. [11, 14, 8]).

The presentation of affine quantum Schur algebras is useful in the investigation of categorifications of the affine quantum Schur algebras (cf. [18, 0.1] and [19]). The presentation of the affine quantum Schur algebra $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ over $\mathbb{Q}(v)$ is given in [4, 20] under the assumption that $n > r$. The presentation of the affine quantum Schur algebra $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\vartriangle(r,r)$ is given in [2, Thm. 5.3.5]. However the presentation problem of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ is much more complicated in the $n < r$ case (cf. [2, Rem. 5.3.6]). In this paper, we give a presentation of the classical affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ for any n, r.

We organize this paper as follows. We recall the definition of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and the affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ in §2. In §3, we recall the multiplication formulas in affine Schur algebras established in [2, Ch. 6]. These formulas are essential in studying the presentation of

---

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

affine Schur algebras. Furthermore we will construct the PBW-basis for affine Schur algebras in 3.7. We will construct the algebra $\mathbf{T}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ by generators and relations in §4 and prove that $\mathbf{T}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ is isomorphic to the affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ in 5.2.

2. THE ALGEBRA $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ AND THE AFFINE SCHUR ALGEBRA $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$

Let $M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the set of all $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}$ matrices $A=(a_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ with $a_{i,j}\in\mathbb{Q}$ such that

(a) For $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $a_{i,j}=a_{i+n,j+n}$;
(b) For every $i\in\mathbb{Z}$, the set $\{j\in\mathbb{Z}\mid a_{i,j}\neq 0\}$ is finite.

For $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, denote by $E^\vartriangle_{i,j}=(e^{i,j}_{k,l})_{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfying

$$e^{i,j}_{k,l}=\begin{cases}1 \text{ if } k=i+sn, l=j+sn \text{ for some} s\in\mathbb{Z};\\ 0 \text{ otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n:=\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{Q})\otimes\mathbb{Q}[t,t^{-1}]$. Clearly, the map

$$M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q})\longrightarrow\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n,\ \ E^\vartriangle_{i,j+ln}\longmapsto E_{i,j}\otimes t^l,\ 1\leqslant i,j\leqslant n, l\in\mathbb{Z},$$

is a Lie algebra isomorphism. We will identify the loop algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$ with $M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q})$ in the sequel.

Let $\Theta_\vartriangle(n):=\{A\in M_{\vartriangle,n}(\mathbb{Q})\mid a_{i,j}\in\mathbb{N}\}$ and $\Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n):=\{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n)\mid \text{for any } i, a_{i,i}=0\}$. Let $\Theta^+_\vartriangle(n):=\{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n)\mid a_{i,j}=0 \text{ for } i\geqslant j\}$ and $\Theta^-_\vartriangle(n):=\{A\in\Theta_\vartriangle(n)\mid a_{i,j}=0 \text{ for } i\leqslant j\}$. For $A\in\Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)$ write $A=A^++A^-$ with $A^+\in\Theta^+_\vartriangle(n)$ and $A^-\in\Theta^-_\vartriangle(n)$.

Let $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the universal enveloping algebra of the loop algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n$. For $t\in\mathbb{N}$ let $\binom{H_i}{t}:=\frac{H_i(H_i-1)\cdots(H_i-t+1)}{t!}\in\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, where $H_i:=E^\vartriangle_{i,i}$. For $\lambda\in\mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle$, denote

$$\binom{H}{\lambda}=\prod_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\binom{H_i}{\lambda_i}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{L}^+=\{(i,j)\mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\ j\in\mathbb{Z},\ i<j\} \text{ and } \mathcal{L}^-=\{(i,j)\mid 1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\ j\in\mathbb{Z},\ i>j\} \tag{2.1}$$

Then the set

$$\Big\{\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^+}(E^\vartriangle_{i,j})^{a_{i,j}}\binom{H}{\lambda}\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^-}(E^\vartriangle_{i,j})^{a_{i,j}}\,\Big|\,\lambda\in\mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle,\ A\in\Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)\Big\} \tag{2.2}$$

forms a basis of $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, where the products are taken with respect to any fixed total order on $\mathcal{L}^+$ and $\mathcal{L}^-$.

Let $I=\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and we identify I with $\{1,2,\cdots,n\}$. Let $C=(c_{i,j})_{i,j\in I}$ be the Cartan matrix of affine type A_{n-1}. For $s\in\mathbb{Z}$ with $s\neq 0$ let $Z_s=\sum_{1\leqslant h\leqslant n}E^\vartriangle_{h,h+sn}$. According to [2, Thm. 6.1.1] we have the following result.

Lemma 2.1. *The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by $E_i = E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+1}$, $F_i = E^{\vartriangle}_{i+1,i}$, H_i, Z_s, $i \in I$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s \neq 0$ with relations ($i, j \in I$, $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, $s, t \neq 0$)*

(UR1) $H_iH_j = H_jH_i$;

(UR2) $H_iE_j - E_jH_i = (\delta_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j+1})E_j, \quad H_iF_j - F_jH_i = (\delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i,j})F_j$;

(UR3) $E_iF_j - F_jE_i = \delta_{ij}(H_j - H_{j+1})$

(UR4) $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}} (-1)^a \binom{1-c_{i,j}}{a} E_i^a E_j E_i^b = 0$ *for* $i \neq j$;

(UR5) $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}} (-1)^a \binom{1-c_{i,j}}{a} F_i^a F_j F_i^b = 0$ *for* $i \neq j$;

(UR6) $E_iZ_s = Z_sE_i$, $F_iZ_s = Z_sF_i$, $H_iZ_s = Z_sH_i$, $Z_sZ_t = Z_tZ_s$.

Corollary 2.2. *The universal enveloping algebras $\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by E_i, F_i, H_i, $E_{i,i+mn}$, $i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ with relations (UR1)–(UR5) and*

(UR6)$'$ $E_{i,i+mn}H_j = H_jE_{i,i+mn}$; $E_{i,i+mn}E_{j,j+ln} = E_{j,j+ln}E_{i,i+mn}$;

(UR7)$'$ $\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+mn}E_j = E_j \sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+mn}$; $\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+mn}F_j = F_j \sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+mn}$;

(UR8)$'$ $[X_{i,m}, [[\ldots[E_i, E_{i+1}], \ldots], E_n]] = E_{1,1+mn} - E_{i,i+mn}$ *for* $i \neq 1$ *and* $m > 0$, *where* $X_{i,m} = [[\ldots, [[E_1, E_{2,2+(m-1)n}], E_2], \ldots], E_{i-1}]$;

(UR9)$'$ $[[[F_n, \ldots, [F_{i+1}, F_i]\ldots]], Y_{i,m}] = E_{1,1-mn} - E_{i,i-mn}$ *for* $i \neq 1$ *and* $m > 0$, *where* $Y_{i,m} = [F_{i-1}, [\ldots, [F_2, [E_{2,2-(m-1)n}, F_1]], \ldots]]$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra generated by E_i, F_i, H_i and $E_{i,i+mn}$ ($i \in I, m \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$) with the given presentation. There is a surjective $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism $f : \mathcal{U} \to \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ such that $f(E_i) = E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+1}$, $f(F_i) = E^{\vartriangle}_{i+1,i}$, $f(H_i) = E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i}$ and $f(E_{i,i+mn}) = E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+mn}$. On the other hand, there is a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra homomorphism $g : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \to \mathcal{U}$ such that $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+1}) = E_i$, $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i+1,i}) = F_i$, $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i}) = H_i$ and $g(\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+mn}) = \sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+mn}$.

It is clear that we have $f \circ g = id$. In order to prove that $g \circ f = id$, it is enough to prove that $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+mn}) = E_{i,i+mn}$ for $i \in I$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$. We use induction on m. By (UR8)$'$ we have $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{1,1+n} - E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+n}) = E_{1,1+n} - E_{i,i+n}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. This implies that $g(nE^{\vartriangle}_{1,1+n}) - g(\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+n}) = nE_{1,1+n} - \sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+n}$. It follows that $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{1,1+n}) = E_{1,1+n}$ and hence $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+n}) = E_{i,i+n}$ for any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Assume now that $m > 1$. Then by induction and (UR8)$'$, we conclude that $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{1,1+mn} - E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+mn}) = E_{1,1+mn} - E_{i,i+mn}$ for any $i > 1$. It follows that $g(nE^{\vartriangle}_{1,1+mn}) - g(\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+mn}) = nE_{1,1+mn} - \sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} E_{i,i+mn}$ and hence $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i-mn}) = E_{i,i-mn}$ for $m > 0$. Similarly, we have $g(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i-mn}) = E_{i,i-mn}$ with $m > 0$. The assertion follows. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \mathbb{Z}[v, v^{-1}]$, where v is an indeterminate. For $r \geqslant 0$ let $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$[1] be the algebra over $\mathcal{Z}$ defined in [17, 1.10]. Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{\vartriangle}(n,r) = \mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes_{\mathcal{Z}} \mathbb{Q}(v)$. The algebras $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and

---

[1] The algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{U}_{r,n,n;\mathcal{A}}$ in [17, 1.10].

$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ are called affine quantum Schur algebras. The algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z}$ has a normalized $\mathcal{Z}$-basis $\{[A] \mid A \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)\}$, where $\Theta_\vartriangle(n,r) = \big\{A \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n) \,\big|\, \sigma(A) := \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\, j\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j} = r\big\}$.

Let $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q} = \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, where $\mathbb{Q}$ is regarded as a $\mathcal{Z}$-module by specializing v to 1. The algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ is the affine Schur algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$. For $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)$ the image of $[A]$ in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ will be denoted by $[A]_1$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle := \{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z},\, \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-n} \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle := \{(\lambda_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle \mid \lambda_i \geqslant 0\}$. For $r \geqslant 0$, let $\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle \mid \sigma(\lambda) := \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n} \lambda_i = r\}$. For $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle$, define in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ (cf. [10, (3.0.3)])

$$A[\mathbf{j},r] = \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r-\sigma(A))} \lambda^{\mathbf{j}}[A + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1,$$

where $\lambda^{\mathbf{j}} = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{j_i}$.

For $i \in I$ let $\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_i \in \mathbb{N}^n_\vartriangle$ be the element satisfying $(\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_i)_j = \delta_{i,j}$ for $j \in I$. By [2, Thm. 6.1.5], there is an algebra homomorphism

$$\eta_r : \mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}, \tag{2.3}$$

such that $\eta_r(E^\vartriangle_{i,i}) = 0[\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_i, r]$ and $\eta_r(E^\vartriangle_{i,j}) = E^\vartriangle_{i,j}[0,r]$ for $i \neq j$.

3. MULTIPLICATION FORMULAS IN AFFINE SCHUR ALGEBRAS

In [2, Thm. 6.2.2], the multiplication formulas for $E^\vartriangle_{i,j}[\mathbf{0},r]A[\mathbf{j},r]$ were derived in the case where either $|j-i| = 1$ or $j = i + mn$ for some nonzero integer m. We will use it to derive the multiplication formulas for $E^\vartriangle_{i,j}[\mathbf{0},r]A[\mathbf{j},r]$ for any $i \neq j$ in 3.5.

For $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n)$, let $\mathrm{ro}(A) = \big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}\big)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathrm{co}(A) = \big(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{i,j}\big)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$. Note that if $A, B \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)$ is such that $\mathrm{co}(B) = \mathrm{ro}(A)$ then $[B]_1 \cdot [A]_1 = 0$ in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$. For convenience, we set $[A]_1 = 0 \in \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ if one of the entries of A is negative. The following multiplication formulas in the affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ are proved in [2, Prop. 6.2.3].

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leqslant h \leqslant n$, $A = (a_{i,j}) \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)$, and $\lambda = \mathrm{ro}(A)$. The following multiplication formulas hold in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$:*

(1) *If $\varepsilon \in \{1,-1\}$ and $\lambda_{h+\varepsilon} \geqslant 1$, then*

$$[E^\vartriangle_{h,h+\varepsilon} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_{h+\varepsilon})]_1 \cdot [A]_1 = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{h,i}+1)[A + E^\vartriangle_{h,i} - E^\vartriangle_{h+\varepsilon,i}]_1.$$

(2) *If $m \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and $\lambda_h \geqslant 1$, then*

$$[E^\vartriangle_{h,h+mn} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_h)]_1 \cdot [A]_1 = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{h,s+mn}+1)[A + E^\vartriangle_{h,s+mn} - E^\vartriangle_{h,s}]_1.$$

Furthermore we have the following multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ given in [2, Thm. 6.2.2]. For simplicity, we set $A[\mathbf{j},r] = 0$ if some off-diagonal entries of A are negative.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume* $h, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}_\vartriangle^n$, *and* $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle^\pm(n)$. *The following multiplication formulas hold in* $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$:

(1) $0[\boldsymbol{e}_l^\vartriangle, r]A[\mathbf{j}, r] = A[\mathbf{j} + \boldsymbol{e}_l^\vartriangle, r] + \big(\sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{l,s}\big)A[\mathbf{j}, r]$;

(2) *for* $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E^\vartriangle_{h,h+\varepsilon}[\mathbf{0}, r]A[\mathbf{j}, r] = & \sum_{t\neq h,h+\varepsilon} (a_{h,t}+1)(A + E^\vartriangle_{h,t} - E^\vartriangle_{h+\varepsilon,t})[\mathbf{j}, r] \\ & + \sum_{0\leqslant t\leqslant j_h} (-1)^t \binom{j_h}{t} (A - E^\vartriangle_{h+\varepsilon,h})[\mathbf{j} + (1-t)\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_h, r] \\ & + (a_{h,h+\varepsilon}+1) \sum_{0\leqslant t\leqslant j_{h+\varepsilon}} \binom{j_{h+\varepsilon}}{t} (A + E^\vartriangle_{h,h+\varepsilon})[\mathbf{j} - t\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_{h+\varepsilon}, r]; \end{aligned}$$

(3) *for* $m \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E^\vartriangle_{h,h+mn}[\mathbf{0}, r]A[\mathbf{j}, r] = & \sum_{s\notin\{h,h-mn\}} (a_{h,s+mn}+1)(A + E^\vartriangle_{h,s+mn} - E^\vartriangle_{h,s})[\mathbf{j}, r] \\ & + \sum_{0\leqslant t\leqslant j_h} (-1)^t \binom{j_h}{t} (A - E^\vartriangle_{h,h-mn})[\mathbf{j} + (1-t)\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_h, r] \\ & + (a_{h,h+mn}+1) \sum_{0\leqslant t\leqslant j_h} \binom{j_h}{t} (A + E^\vartriangle_{h,h+mn})[\mathbf{j} - t\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_h, r]. \end{aligned}$$

We now use 3.1(1) to prove the following multiplication formulas in $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume* $1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$. *Let* $A \in \Theta_\vartriangle(n,r)$ *and* $\lambda = \mathrm{ro}(A)$ *with* $\lambda_j \geqslant 1$. *Then we have*

$$[E^\vartriangle_{i,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j)]_1 \cdot [A]_1 = \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{i,t}+1)[A + E^\vartriangle_{i,t} - E^\vartriangle_{j,t}]_1.$$

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|j-i|$. The case $|j-i| = 1$ follows from 3.1(1). Now we assume $|j-i| > 1$. Let

$$\varepsilon_{i,j} = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i < j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $|j + \varepsilon_{i,j} - i| < |j - i|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} [E^\vartriangle_{i,j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j)]_1 = & [E^\vartriangle_{i,j+\varepsilon_{i,j}} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j)]_1 \cdot [E^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j))]_1 \\ & - [E^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j + \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_i - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j}})]_1 \cdot [E^\vartriangle_{i,j+\varepsilon_{i,j}} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j}})]_1. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

By the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [E^\vartriangle_{i,j+\varepsilon_{i,j}} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j)]_1 \cdot [E^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},j} + \mathrm{diag}(\lambda - \boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_j))]_1 \cdot [A]_1 \\ = & \sum_{s,t\in\mathbb{Z}} (a_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},t}+1)(a_{i,s}+1)[A + E^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},t} - E^\vartriangle_{j,t} + E^\vartriangle_{i,s} - E^\vartriangle_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},s}]_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&[E^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{i}-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j}})]_1\cdot[E^{\triangle}_{i,j+\varepsilon_{i,j}}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j}})]_1\cdot[A]_1\\=&\sum_{s,t\in\mathbb{Z}}(a_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},t}+1-\delta_{s,t})(a_{i,s}+1)[A+E^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}+E^{\triangle}_{i,s}-E^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},s}]_1.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}[E^{\triangle}_{i,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[A]_1&=\sum_{s,t\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta_{s,t}(a_{i,s}+1)[A+E^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}+E^{\triangle}_{i,s}-E^{\triangle}_{j+\varepsilon_{i,j},s}]_1\\&=\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}(a_{i,t}+1)[A-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}+E^{\triangle}_{i,t}]_1\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

Using 3.1(2) and 3.3, we can prove the following generalization of 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume* $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, $j\in\mathbb{Z}$ *and* $i\neq j$. *Let* $A\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ *and* $\lambda=\mathrm{ro}(A)$ *with* $\lambda_j\geqslant 1$. *Then we have*

$$[E^{\triangle}_{i,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[A]_1=\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}(a_{i,t}+1)[A+E^{\triangle}_{i,t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}]_1.$$

Proof. We write $j=k+mn$, where $1\leqslant k\leqslant n$ and $m\in\mathbb{Z}$. The case $k=i$ is given in 3.1(2). We now assume $k\neq i$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}[E^{\triangle}_{i,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1&=[E^{\triangle}_{i,k}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[E^{\triangle}_{k,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\\&\quad-[E^{\triangle}_{k,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{i}-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{k})]_1\cdot[E^{\triangle}_{i,k}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

By 3.1(2) and 3.3 we have

$$[E^{\triangle}_{i,k}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[E^{\triangle}_{k,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[A]_1=\sum_{s,t\in\mathbb{Z}}(a_{k,t}+1)(a_{i,s}+1)[A+E^{\triangle}_{k,t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}+E^{\triangle}_{i,s}-E^{\triangle}_{k,s}]_1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&[E^{\triangle}_{k,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j}+\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{i}-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{k})]_1\cdot[E^{\triangle}_{i,k}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[A]_1\\=&\sum_{s,t\in\mathbb{Z}}(a_{k,t}-\delta_{s,t}+1)(a_{i,s}+1)[A+E^{\triangle}_{k,t}-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}+E^{\triangle}_{i,s}-E^{\triangle}_{k,s}]_1\end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.2) implies that

$$[E^{\triangle}_{i,j}+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_{j})]_1\cdot[A]_1=\sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}}(a_{i,t}+1)[A-E^{\triangle}_{j,t}+E^{\triangle}_{i,t}]_1$$

as required. □

The following multiplication formulas, which can be proved using 3.4 in a way similar to the proof of [2, Thm. 6.2.2], are the generalization of 3.2(2) and (3).

Proposition 3.5. *Assume* $h \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$. *The following multiplication formulas hold in* $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$*:*

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\vartriangle}_{h,k}[\mathbf{0},r]A[\mathbf{j},r] &= \sum_{\forall t\neq h,k} (a_{h,t}+1)(A+E^{\vartriangle}_{h,t}-E^{\vartriangle}_{k,t})[\mathbf{j},r] \\ &\quad + \sum_{0\leqslant t\leqslant j_h} (-1)^t \binom{j_h}{t}(A-E^{\vartriangle}_{k,h})[\mathbf{j}+(1-t)\boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_h,r] \\ &\quad + (a_{h,k}+1)\sum_{0\leqslant t\leqslant j_k} \binom{j_k}{t}(A+E^{\vartriangle}_{h,k})[\mathbf{j}-t\boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_k,r]. \end{aligned}$$

We end this section by constructing the PBW-basis for $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Recall the notation $\mathcal{L}^+,\mathcal{L}^-$ introduced in (2.1). Let $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}^+\cup\mathcal{L}^-$. For $A\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ let $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A)=(\sigma_i(A))_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\in\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$, where

$$\sigma_i(A)=a_{i,i}+\sum_{j<i}(a_{i,j}+a_{j,i}).$$

Using 3.5, one can prove the following triangular relation in affine Schur algebras.

Lemma 3.6. *For* $A\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ *and* $\lambda\in\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^+}\frac{E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j}[0,r]^{a_{i,j}}}{a_{i,j}!}[\mathrm{diag}(\lambda)]_1\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^-}\frac{E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j}[0,r]^{a_{i,j}}}{a_{i,j}!} \\ &= [A+\mathrm{diag}(\lambda-\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A))]_1+\sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\\ \sigma(B^++B^-)<\sigma(A)}} f_{A,\lambda,B}[B] \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{A,\lambda,B}\in\mathbb{Q}$ *and the products are taken with respect to any fixed total order on* $\mathcal{L}^+$ *and* $\mathcal{L}^-$.

The following result is a direct consequence of 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *The set*

$$\Big\{\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^+}E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j}[0,r]^{a_{i,j}}[\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\sigma}(A))]\prod_{(i,j)\in\mathcal{L}^-}E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j}[0,r]^{a_{i,j}}\mid A\in\Theta_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\Big\}$$

forms a basis for $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$*, where the products are taken with respect to any fixed total order on* $\mathcal{L}^+$ *and* $\mathcal{L}^-$.

4. The algebra $\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$

We now define an algebra $\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ as below. We will prove in 5.2 that $\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ is isomorphic to the affine Schur algebra $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $n\geqslant 2$ and $I=\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ be the associative algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the elements

$$\mathtt{e}_i,\mathtt{f}_i,1_\lambda,\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}(i\in I,m\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\lambda\in\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r))$$

subject to the relations:

- (R1) $\mathtt{1}_\lambda \mathtt{1}_\mu = \delta_{\lambda,\mu}\mathtt{1}_\lambda, \quad \sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)} \mathtt{1}_\lambda = 1$;
- (R2) $\mathtt{e}_i\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda+\alpha_i^\vartriangle}\mathtt{e}_i$, $\mathtt{f}_i\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda-\alpha_i^\vartriangle}\mathtt{f}_i$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_\vartriangle$, where $\alpha_i^\vartriangle = \boldsymbol{e}_i^\vartriangle - \boldsymbol{e}_{i+1}^\vartriangle$ and $\mathtt{1}_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \notin \Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$.
- (R3) $\mathtt{e}_i\mathtt{f}_j - \mathtt{f}_j\mathtt{e}_i = \delta_{i,j}\sum\limits_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)}(\lambda_i-\lambda_{i+1})\mathtt{1}_\lambda$;
- (R4) $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}}(-1)^a\binom{1-c_{i,j}}{a}\mathtt{e}_i^a\mathtt{e}_j\mathtt{e}_i^b = 0$ for $i\neq j$;
- (R5) $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b=1-c_{i,j}}(-1)^a\binom{1-c_{i,j}}{a}\mathtt{f}_i^a\mathtt{f}_j\mathtt{f}_i^b = 0$ for $i\neq j$;
- (R6) $[\mathtt{x}_{i,m},[[\ldots[\mathtt{e}_i,\mathtt{e}_{i+1}],\ldots],\mathtt{e}_n]] = \mathtt{e}_{1,1+mn} - \mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}$ for $i\neq 1$ and $m>0$ where $\mathtt{x}_{i,m} = [[\ldots,[[\mathtt{e}_1,\mathtt{e}_{2,2+(m-1)n}],\mathtt{e}_2],\ldots],\mathtt{e}_{i-1}]$;
- (R7) $[[[\mathtt{f}_n,\ldots,[\mathtt{f}_{i+1},\mathtt{f}_i]\ldots]],\mathtt{y}_{i,m}] = \mathtt{e}_{1,1-mn} - \mathtt{e}_{i,i-mn}$ for $i\neq 1$ and $m>0$, where $\mathtt{y}_{i,m} = [\mathtt{f}_{i-1},[\ldots,[\mathtt{f}_2,[\mathtt{e}_{2,2-(m-1)n},\mathtt{f}_1]],\ldots]]$;
- (R8) $\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}$, $\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}\mathtt{e}_{j,j+ln} = \mathtt{e}_{j,j+ln}\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}$;
- (R9) $\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}\mathtt{e}_j = \mathtt{e}_j\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}$, $\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}\mathtt{f}_j = \mathtt{f}_j\sum\limits_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}$;
- (R10) $f_i(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_t)\mathtt{1}_\lambda = 0$ for $i\in I$, $t\geqslant 1$, $m_1,\ldots,m_t\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$ and $\lambda_i<t$. Here $f_i(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_t)$ is defined recursively as follows. For $m\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, let $f_i(m)=\mathtt{e}_{i,i+nm}$, and $f_i(0)=\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)}\lambda_i\mathtt{1}_\lambda$. For $t>1$ and $m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_t\in\mathbb{Z}$, let

$$f_i(m_1,\ldots,m_t) = f_i(m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1})f_i(m_t) - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} f_i(m_1,\ldots,\widehat{m_j},\ldots,m_{t-1},m_j+m_t), \tag{4.1}$$

where $\widehat{m_j}$ indicates that m_j is omitted.

The definition implies the following result.

Lemma 4.2. *There is a unique $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra anti-automorphism τ on $\mathbf{T}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ such that*

$$\tau(\mathtt{e}_i)=\mathtt{f}_i,\ \tau(\mathtt{f}_i)=\mathtt{e}_i,\ \tau(\mathtt{1}_\lambda)=\mathtt{1}_\lambda,\ \tau(\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn})=\mathtt{e}_{i,i-mn},$$

for $i\in I$ and $m\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$.

By 2.2, we conclude that there is an algebra homomorphism $\xi_r:\mathcal{U}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)\to\mathbf{T}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ such that

$$\xi_r(E_i)=\mathtt{e}_i,\ \xi_r(F_i)=\mathtt{f}_i,\ \xi_r(H_i)=\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)}\lambda_i\mathtt{1}_\lambda \text{ and } \xi_r(E^\vartriangle_{i,i+mn})\mapsto\mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn} \tag{4.2}$$

for $i\in I$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $m\neq 0$. According to [10, (4.1.1)], we have the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *For $\lambda\in\Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$ we have*

$$\xi_r\left(\left(\!\binom{H}{\lambda}\!\right)\right) = \begin{cases}\mathtt{1}_\lambda & \textit{if } \sigma(\lambda)=r;\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

In particular the map ξ_r is surjective.

Let

$$\mathsf{e}_{i,j} := \xi_r(E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j}) \ i = 1, \dots, n, \ j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\bar{i}$ denote the integer modulo n. Since $[E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j}, E^{\vartriangle}_{k,l}] = \delta_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} E^{\vartriangle}_{i,l+j-k} - \delta_{\bar{l},\bar{i}} E^{\vartriangle}_{k,j+l-i}$ for $i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that

$$[\mathsf{e}_{i,j}, \mathsf{e}_{k,l}] = \delta_{\bar{j},\bar{k}} \mathsf{e}_{i,l+j-k} - \delta_{\bar{l},\bar{i}} \mathsf{e}_{k,j+l-i}. \tag{4.3}$$

for $i,j,k,l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For $A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$, let

$$\mathsf{e}^A = \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ i<j,\, j \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{i,j}}_{i,j} = M_n \cdots M_2 M_1,$$

where

$$M_j = M_j(A) = \prod_{\substack{1<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{1,j+sn}}_{1,j+sn} \prod_{\substack{2<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{2,j+sn}}_{2,j+sn} \cdots \prod_{\substack{n<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{n,j+sn}}_{n,j+sn}.$$

Note that by (4.3) we have $\mathsf{e}_{i,j+mn}\mathsf{e}_{i,j+ln} = \mathsf{e}_{i,j+ln}\mathsf{e}_{i,j+mn}$ for $i,j \in I$ and $m,l \in \mathbb{Z}$. So the product $\prod_{i<j+sn,\, s \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{i,j+sn}}_{i,j+sn}$ is independent of the order of s. For $A \in \Theta^-_{\vartriangle}(n)$, let $\mathtt{f}^A = \tau(\mathsf{e}^{{}^tA})$, where ${}^tA$ is the transpose of A. Then we have

$$\mathtt{f}^A = \prod_{\substack{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ i>j}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{i,j}}_{i,j} = M'_1 M'_2 \cdots M'_n,$$

where

$$M'_j = M'_j(A) = \prod_{\substack{j+sn>n \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{j+sn,n}}_{j+sn,n} \prod_{\substack{j+sn>n-1 \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{j+sn,n-1}}_{j+sn,n-1} \cdots \prod_{\substack{j+sn>1 \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{j+sn,1}}_{j+sn,1}.$$

For $A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n)$ and $i,j \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$A^+_{i,j} = \sum_{\substack{i<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{i,j+sn} E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j+sn} \text{ and } A^-_{j,i} = \sum_{\substack{i<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{j+sn,i} E^{\vartriangle}_{j+sn,i}. \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, let

$$A^+_j = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} A^+_{i,j} = \sum_{\substack{i<j \\ i \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{i,j} E^{\vartriangle}_{i,j} \text{ and } A^-_j = \sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} A^-_{j,i} = \sum_{\substack{i<j \\ i \in \mathbb{Z}}} a_{j,i} E^{\vartriangle}_{j,i}. \tag{4.5}$$

Then we have $A^+ = \sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} A^+_j$, $A^- = \sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant n} A^-_j$. Furthermore we have

$$\mathsf{e}^{A^+_{i,j}} = \prod_{\substack{i<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{i,j+sn}}_{i,j+sn}, \ \mathtt{f}^{A^-_{j,i}} = \prod_{\substack{i<j+sn \\ s \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathsf{e}^{a_{j+sn,i}}_{j+sn,i}, \ \mathsf{e}^{A^+_j} = M_j(A^+), \ \mathtt{f}^{A^-_j} = M'_j(A^-).$$

Hence we have

$$\mathsf{e}^{A^+} = \mathsf{e}^{A^+_n} \cdots \mathsf{e}^{A^+_1}, \ \mathtt{f}^{A^-} = \mathtt{f}^{A^-_1} \cdots \mathtt{f}^{A^-_n}, \ \mathsf{e}^{A^+_j} = \mathsf{e}^{A^+_{1,j}} \cdots \mathsf{e}^{A^+_{n,j}}, \ \mathtt{f}^{A^-_j} = \mathtt{f}^{A^-_{j,n}} \cdots \mathtt{f}^{A^-_{j,1}}. \tag{4.6}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathcal{N}_k = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\mu \mathtt{f}^{A^-} \mid A \in \Theta^{\pm}_{\vartriangle}(n),\ \sigma(A) < k,\ \mu \in \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Furthermore, let

$$\mathcal{N}_k^+ = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathtt{e}^A \mid A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n),\ \sigma(A) < k\} \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_k^- = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathtt{f}^A \mid A \in \Theta^-_{\vartriangle}(n),\ \sigma(A) < k\}. \tag{4.8}$$

By (4.3) we have the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *Assume* $M = \mathtt{e}_{i_1,j_1}\cdots \mathtt{e}_{i_k,j_k}$ *and* $M' = \mathtt{e}_{i'_1,j'_1}\cdots \mathtt{e}_{i'_l,j'_l}$, *where* $i_s \neq j_s$ *and* $i'_t \neq j'_t$ *for all* s,t. *Then:*

1. $MM' - M'M \in \mathcal{N}_{k+l}$.
2. *If* $i_s < j_s$ *and* $i'_t < j'_t$ *for all* s,t *then* $MM' - M'M \in \mathcal{N}^+_{k+l}$.
3. *If* $i_s > j_s$ *and* $i'_t > j'_t$ *for all* s,t *then* $MM' - M'M \in \mathcal{N}^-_{k+l}$.

Lemma 4.5. *For* $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}$ *and* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, *we have* $\mathtt{e}_{i,j}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda + \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_i - \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_j}\mathtt{e}_{i,j}$, *where* $\mathtt{1}_\lambda = 0$ *for* $\lambda \notin \Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$.

Proof. We write $j = k + mn$ with $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $k = i$ then the assertion follows from 4.1(R8). Now we assume $k \neq i$. From (4.3) we see that

$$\mathtt{e}_{i,j} = \mathtt{e}_{i,k+mn} = \begin{cases} [[\cdots[[\mathtt{e}_{i,i+1}, \mathtt{e}_{i+1,i+2}], \mathtt{e}_{i+2,i+3}], \cdots, \mathtt{e}_{k-1,k}], \mathtt{e}_{k,k+mn}] & \text{if } i < k; \\ [[\cdots[[\mathtt{e}_{i,i-1}, \mathtt{e}_{i-1,i-2}], \mathtt{e}_{i-2,i-3}], \cdots, \mathtt{e}_{k+1,k}], \mathtt{e}_{k,k+mn}] & \text{if } i > k \end{cases}$$

By 4.1(R2) and (R8) we conclude that

$$\mathtt{e}_{i,k+mn}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \begin{cases} \mathtt{1}_{\lambda + \alpha^{\vartriangle}_i + \alpha^{\vartriangle}_{i+1} + \cdots + \alpha^{\vartriangle}_{k-1}}\mathtt{e}_{i,k+mn} = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda + \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_i - \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_k}\mathtt{e}_{i,k+mn} & \text{if } i < k; \\ \mathtt{1}_{\lambda - \alpha^{\vartriangle}_{i-1} - \alpha^{\vartriangle}_{i-2} - \cdots - \alpha^{\vartriangle}_k}\mathtt{e}_{i,k+mn} = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda + \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_i - \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_k}\mathtt{e}_{i,k+mn} & \text{if } i > k. \end{cases}$$

The assertion follows. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let* $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n_{\vartriangle}$.

1. *For* $A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$ *we have* $\mathtt{e}^A\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda - \mathrm{co}(A) + \mathrm{ro}(A)}\mathtt{e}^A$.
2. *For* $A \in \Theta^-_{\vartriangle}(n)$ *we have* $\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^A = \mathtt{f}^A\mathtt{1}_{\lambda + \mathrm{co}(A) - \mathrm{ro}(A)}$.

Proof. Applying τ defined in 4.2 to (1) gives (2). We prove (1). If $A \in \Theta^+_{\vartriangle}(n)$, then by 4.5 we have $\mathtt{e}^A\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_{\lambda+\beta}\mathtt{e}^A$, where

$$\beta = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n\\ i<j,\, j\in\mathbb{Z}}} a_{i,j}(\boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_i - \boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_j) = \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant n}\sum_{\substack{i<j\\ j\in\mathbb{Z}}} a_{i,j}\boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_i - \sum_{1\leqslant k\leqslant n}\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant n,\, s\in\mathbb{Z}\\ i<k+sn}} a_{i,k+sn}\boldsymbol{e}^{\vartriangle}_k = \mathrm{ro}(A) - \mathrm{co}(A).$$

The assertion follows. □

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, let

$$\Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i = \{A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n) \mid a_{h,t} = 0 \,\text{for}\, 1 \leqslant h \leqslant n,\, t \in \mathbb{Z} \,\text{with}\, (h,t) \notin \{(i, i+kn) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}\}.$$

For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, let $\Theta^+_\vartriangle(n)_i = \Theta^+_\vartriangle(n) \cap \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$ and $\Theta^-_\vartriangle(n)_i = \Theta^-_\vartriangle(n) \cap \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$. By definition we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+} = \prod_{m \geqslant 1} \mathtt{e}_{i,i+mn}^{a_{i,i+mn}} = \prod_{m \geqslant 1} f_i(m)^{a_{i,i+mn}} \text{ and } \mathtt{f}^{A^-} = \prod_{m \geqslant 1} \mathtt{e}_{i+mn,i}^{a_{i+mn,i}} = \prod_{m \geqslant 1} f_i(-m)^{a_{i+mn,i}} \tag{4.9}$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$. By 4.1(R8) we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_\lambda \mathtt{e}^{A^+},\ \mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{1}_\lambda \mathtt{f}^{A^-} \text{ and } \mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{f}^{A^-} = \mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+} \tag{4.10}$$

for $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$.

Lemma 4.7. *For $i \in I$ and $m_1, \cdots, m_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have*

$$f_i(m_1) \cdots f_i(m_t) = f_i(m_1, \cdots, m_t) + g$$

where g is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear combination of $f_i(l_1) \cdots f_i(l_s)$ with $1 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1$ and $l_1, \cdots, l_s \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_{i,t} = \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{Z}\{f_i(l_1) \cdots f_i(l_s) \mid 1 \leqslant s \leqslant t,\, l_1, \cdots, l_s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. We proceed by induction on t. The case $t = 1, 2$ is trivial. Now we assume $t > 2$. By definition we have $f_i(m_1, \ldots, m_t) = f_i(m_1, \ldots, m_{t-1}) f_i(m_t) - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} h_j$, where $h_j = f_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_j + m_t)$. By the induction hypothesis, we have $f_i(m_1, \ldots, m_{t-1}) f_i(m_t) - f_i(m_1) \cdots f_i(m_{t-1}) f_i(m_t) \in \mathcal{X}_{i,t-2} f_i(m_t) \subseteq \mathcal{X}_{i,t-1}$ and $h_j \in \mathcal{X}_{i,t-1}$ for all j. The assertion follows. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$. If $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$ and $\lambda_i < \sigma_i(A)$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then*

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda \mathtt{f}^{A^-} = \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i \\ \sigma(B) < \sigma(A)}} d_B \mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda \mathtt{f}^{B^-}, \tag{4.11}$$

where $d_B \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. Since $A \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$, there exist $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash \{0\}$ with $t = \sigma(A) = \sigma_i(A)$ such that $\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{f}^{A^-} = f_i(m_1) \cdots f_i(m_t)$. It follows from 4.7 that

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{f}^{A^-} = f_i(m_1, \cdots, m_t) + g$$

where g is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of $f_i(l_1) \cdots f_i(l_s)$ with $1 \leqslant s < t = \sigma(A)$ and $l_1, \cdots, l_s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $l_1, \cdots, l_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $B \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_i(l_1) \cdots f_i(l_s) = \mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{f}^{B^-} f_i(0)^k$ and $s = \sigma(B) + k$. It follows that

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{f}^{A^-} = f_i(m_1, \cdots, m_t) + \sum_{\substack{B \in \Theta^\pm_\vartriangle(n)_i \\ \sigma(B) < \sigma(A),\, k \in \mathbb{N}}} g_{B,k} \mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{f}^{B^-} f_i(0)^k \quad (g_{B,k} \in \mathbb{Q}) \tag{4.12}$$

Since $\lambda_i < \sigma_i(A) = t$, by 4.1(R10) we have $f_i(m_1, \cdots, m_t)\mathtt{1}_\lambda = 0$. Thus by (4.10) and (4.12) we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{A^-} = \mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)_i \\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A),\, k\in\mathbb{N}}} g_{B,k}\lambda_i^k\mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{B^-}.$$

The assertion follows. □

Recall the notation $A^+_{i,j}$, A^+_j defined in (4.4) and (4.5). For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ let

$$\Gamma_i = \{A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \mid A^+ = A_i^+,\ A^- \in \Theta_\Delta^-(n)_i\} \text{ and } \Gamma_i' = \{A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n) \mid A^- = A_i^-,\ A^+ \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)_i\}.$$

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda_\vartriangle(n,r)$ and $A \in \Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)$. If $A \in \Gamma_i$ and $\lambda_i < \sigma_i(A)$ for some $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, then*

$$\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{C\in\Gamma_i \\ \sigma(C)<\sigma(A)}} g_C\mathtt{f}^{C^-}\mathtt{e}^{C^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda \ (g_C \in \mathbb{Q}). \tag{4.13}$$

If $A \in \Gamma_i'$ and $\lambda_i < \sigma_i(A)$, then

$$\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+} = \sum_{\substack{C\in\Gamma_i' \\ \sigma(C)<\sigma(A)}} g_C'\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{C^-}\mathtt{e}^{C^+} \ (g_C' \in \mathbb{Q}). \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Applying τ defined in 4.2 to (4.13) gives (4.14). We prove (4.13). Let

$$\Pi_{i,A} = \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{Q}\{\mathtt{f}^{C^-}\mathtt{e}^{C^+} \mid C \in \Gamma_i,\, \sigma(C) < \sigma(A)\}.$$

We have to show that $\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda \in \Pi_{i,A}\mathtt{1}_\lambda$. By (4.3) and (4.6) we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{A^+} = \mathtt{e}^{A_i^+} = \mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}} + g$$

where g is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combination of $\mathtt{e}^B$ with $B = B_i^+ \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n)$ and $\sigma(B) < \sigma(A^+)$. It follows that $\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}}\mathtt{1}_\lambda + \mathtt{f}^{A^-}g\mathtt{1}_\lambda$. Since $\mathtt{f}^{A^-}g \in \mathrm{span}_\mathbb{Q}\{\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^B \mid B = B_i^+ \in \Theta_\Delta^+(n),\, \sigma(B) + \sigma(A^-) < \sigma(A)\} \subseteq \Pi_{i,A}$, it is enough to prove that

$$\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}}\mathtt{1}_\lambda \in \Pi_{i,A}\mathtt{1}_\lambda. \tag{4.15}$$

By 4.6 we have

$$\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{1}_{\lambda'}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}} \tag{4.16}$$

where $\lambda' = \lambda - \mathrm{co}(A^+ - A^+_{i,i}) + \mathrm{ro}(A^+ - A^+_{i,i})$. Since $A^+ = A_i^+$ we have $(\mathrm{ro}(A^+ - A^+_{i,i}))_i = 0$. This together with the condition $\lambda_i < \sigma_i(A)$ implies that $\lambda_i' = \lambda_i - (\mathrm{co}(A^+ - A^+_{i,i}))_i = \lambda_i - \sigma_i(A^+) + \sigma_i(A^+_{i,i}) < \sigma_i(A^-) + \sigma_i(A^+_{i,i})$. Hence by 4.8 and (4.10) we have

$$\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{1}_{\lambda'} = \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta_\Delta^\pm(n)_i \\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A^+_{i,i})+\sigma(A^-)}} d_B\mathtt{f}^{B^-}\mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{1}_{\lambda'}$$

where $d_B \in \mathbb{Q}$. This together with (4.16) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}}\mathtt{1}_\lambda \\
&= \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)_i \\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A^+_{i,i})+\sigma(A^-)}} d_B\mathtt{f}^{B^-}\mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{1}_{\lambda'}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)_i \\ \sigma(B)<\sigma(A^+_{i,i})+\sigma(A^-)}} d_B\mathtt{f}^{B^-}(\mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}})\mathtt{1}_\lambda
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.3) we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{B^+}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}} = \sum_{\substack{C=C^+_i\in\Theta^+_{\triangle}(n) \\ \sigma(C)\leqslant\sigma(B^+)+\sigma(A^+-A^+_{i,i})}} k_{B^+,C}\mathtt{e}^C\ (k_{B^+,C}\in\mathbb{Q}).$$

Thus we have

$$\mathtt{f}^{A^-}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{1,i}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i-1,i}}\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{i+1,i}}\ldots\mathtt{e}^{A^+_{n,i}}\mathtt{1}_\lambda = \sum_{\substack{B\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)_i,\, C=C^+_i\in\Theta^+_{\triangle}(n) \\ \sigma(C)+\sigma(B^-)\leqslant\sigma(B)+\sigma(A^+-A^+_{i,i})<\sigma(A)}} d_Bk_{B^+,C}\mathtt{f}^{B^-}\mathtt{e}^C\mathtt{1}_\lambda$$

as required. □

Proposition 4.10. *The set*

$$\mathfrak{M} := \{\mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{A^-} | A\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n),\, \lambda_i\geqslant\sigma_i(A)\,\forall i\}$$

is a spanning set for $\mathbf{T}_\triangle(n,r)$.

Proof. For $A\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)$ and $\lambda\in\Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$, let

$$\mathtt{m}_{A,\lambda} = \mathtt{e}^{A^+}\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{A^-}.$$

By (2.2) and 4.3, we conclude that $\mathbf{T}_\triangle(n,r)$ is spanned by the elements $\mathtt{m}_{A,\lambda}$ with $\lambda\in\Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$, $A\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)$. Therefore, to prove this proposition, we must show that if $\lambda\in\Lambda_\triangle(n,r)$, $B\in\Theta^{\pm}_{\triangle}(n)$ and $\lambda_i<\sigma_i(B)$ for some $1\leqslant i\leqslant n$, then $\mathtt{m}_{B,\lambda}$ lies in the span of $\mathfrak{M}$.

We proceed by induction on $\sigma(B)$. If $\sigma(B)=1$, then by 4.1(R10) and 4.5 we have $\mathtt{m}_{B,\lambda}=0$. Assume now that $\sigma(B)>1$ and $\lambda_i<\sigma_i(B)$. By (4.6) we may write

$$\mathtt{m}_{B,\lambda} = \mathtt{e}^{B^+_n}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{B^+_1}\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{B^-_1}\cdots\mathtt{f}^{B^-_n},$$

where B^+_i and B^-_i are as given in (4.5). Let $\mathtt{m}^{(1)}_{B,\lambda} = x_B\mathtt{e}^{B^+_i}\mathtt{1}_\lambda\mathtt{f}^{B^-_i}y_B$. where $x_B = \mathtt{e}^{B^+_n}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i+1}}\mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i-1}}\cdots\mathtt{e}^{B^+_1}$ and $y_B = \mathtt{f}^{B^-_1}\cdots\mathtt{f}^{B^-_{i-1}}\mathtt{f}^{B^-_{i+1}}\cdots\mathtt{f}^{B^-_n}$. By 4.4 we have

$$\mathtt{m}_{B,\lambda} - \mathtt{m}^{(1)}_{B,\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(B)}. \tag{4.17}$$

Furthermore by 4.6 we have

$$\mathtt{m}^{(1)}_{B,\lambda} = x_B \mathtt{1}_{\lambda'} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_i} \mathtt{f}^{B^-_i} y_B,$$

where $\lambda' = \lambda - \mathrm{co}(B^+_i) + \mathrm{ro}(B^+_i)$. Let $\mathtt{m}^{(2)}_{B,\lambda} = x_B \mathtt{1}_{\lambda'} \mathtt{f}^{B^-_i} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_i} y_B$. Then by 4.4 we have

$$\mathtt{m}^{(1)}_{B,\lambda} - \mathtt{m}^{(2)}_{B,\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(B)}. \tag{4.18}$$

Let

$$\mathtt{m}^{(3)}_{B,\lambda} = x_B (\mathtt{1}_{\lambda'} \mathtt{f}^{B^-_i} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i,i}}) \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{1,i}} \cdots \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i-1,i}} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i+1,i}} \cdots \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{n,i}} y_B.$$

By (4.6) and 4.4 we have

$$\mathtt{e}^{B^+_i} - \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i,i}} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{1,i}} \cdots \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i-1,i}} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i+1,i}} \cdots \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{n,i}} \in \mathcal{N}^+_{\sigma(B^+_i)}.$$

where $B^+_{s,i}$ is as given in (4.4). It follows that

$$\mathtt{m}^{(2)}_{B,\lambda} - \mathtt{m}^{(3)}_{B,\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(B)}. \tag{4.19}$$

Note that $B^+_{i,i} \in \Theta^+_\vartriangle(n)_i$. Since $\lambda_i < \sigma_i(B) = \sigma_i(B^-_i) + \sigma_i(B^+_i)$, we have $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i - \sigma_i(B^+_i) + \sigma_i(B^+_{i,i}) < \sigma_i(B^-_i) + \sigma_i(B^+_{i,i})$. Hence by (4.14) and 4.4 we have

$$\mathtt{1}_{\lambda'} \mathtt{f}^{B^-_i} \mathtt{e}^{B^+_{i,i}} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(B^-_i + B^+_{i,i})}.$$

This together with 4.4 implies that

$$\mathtt{m}^{(3)}_{B,\lambda} \in \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(B)}. \tag{4.20}$$

By the induction hypothesis we have $\mathcal{N}_{\sigma(B)} \subseteq \mathrm{span}\,\mathfrak{M}$. Thus by (4.17)–(4.20) we have $\mathtt{m}_{B,\lambda} \in \mathrm{span}\,\mathfrak{M}$. The assertion follows. □

5. THE ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN $\mathbf{T}_\vartriangle(n,r)$ AND $\mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ let

$$\widetilde{f}_i(m) = \begin{cases} E^\vartriangle_{i,i+nm}[0,r] & \text{if } m \neq 0; \\ 0[\boldsymbol{e}^\vartriangle_i, r] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, by using (4.1), we define similarly the elements $\widetilde{f}_i(m_1,\cdots,m_t) \in \mathcal{S}_\vartriangle(n,r)_\mathbb{Q}$ for $m_1,\cdots,m_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $t > 1$.

Lemma 5.1. *For $i \in I$ and $m_1,\ldots,m_t \in \mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\}$, we have*

$$\widetilde{f}_i(m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_t) = a_{m_1,\ldots,m_t} \cdot \Big(\sum_{j=1}^t E^\vartriangle_{i,i+m_j n}\Big)[0,r].$$

where $a_{m_1,\ldots,m_t} = \prod_{2\leqslant k\leqslant t}(\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant k} \delta_{m_s,m_k})$.

Proof. We use induction on t. The case $t = 1$ is trivial. The result follows from 3.2 when $t = 2$. Assume now $t > 2$. By the inductive hypothesis we have $\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{t-1}) = a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}} \cdot A[0, r]$, where $A = \sum_{s=1}^{t-1} E^{\triangle}_{i,i+m_s n}$. It follows from 3.2(3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_t)\\
&= \widetilde{f}_i(m_t)\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_{t-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_j + m_t)\\
&= a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (h_j(A + E^{\triangle}_{i,i+n(m_j+m_t)} - E^{\triangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0, r] + s_j(A - E^{\triangle}_{i,i-nm_t})[\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_i, r])\\
&\quad + a_{m_1,\ldots,m_t}\big(\sum_{j=1}^{t} E^{\triangle}_{i,i+m_j n}\big)[0, r] - \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} \widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_j + m_t),
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_j = (1 + \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1,\, s \neq j} \delta_{m_j+m_t, m_s})(1 - \delta_{0,m_j+m_t})(\sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1} \delta_{m_j,m_s})^{-1}$ and $s_j = \delta_{0,m_j+m_t}(\sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1} \delta_{m_j,m_s})^{-1}$. Thus it is enough to prove

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_j + m_t)\\
&= a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}}(h_j(A + E^{\triangle}_{i,i+n(m_j+m_t)} - E^{\triangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0, r] + s_j(A - E^{\triangle}_{i,i-nm_t})[\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_i, r])
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t - 1$.

If $m_j + m_t = 0$ for some $1 \leqslant j \leqslant t - 1$, then by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_j + m_t)\\
&= \widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1})\widetilde{f}_i(0) - \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant k \leqslant t-1\\ k \neq j}} \widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, \widehat{m_k}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_k).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Furthermore we have $h_j = 0$ and $s_j = (\sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1} \delta_{m_j,m_s})^{-1}$. Since $a_{m_1,\ldots,m_k,m_{k+1},\ldots,m_{t-1}} = a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{k+1},m_k,\ldots,m_{t-1}}$ for any k we have

$$a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}} = a_{m_1,\ldots,\widehat{m_j},\ldots,m_{t-1},m_j} = a_{m_1,\ldots,\widehat{m_j},\ldots,m_{t-1}} \sum_{1 \leqslant s \leqslant t-1} \delta_{m_j,m_s} = a_{m_1,\ldots,\widehat{m_j},\ldots,m_{t-1}} s_j^{-1}. \tag{5.2}$$

Thus by the induction hypothesis we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, \widehat{m_k}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_k) &= \widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1})\\
&= a_{m_1,\ldots,\widehat{m_j},\ldots,m_{t-1}}(A - E^{\triangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0, r]\\
&= a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}} s_j(A - E^{\triangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0, r]
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leqslant k \leqslant t - 1$ with $k \neq j$. This together with (5.1) and 3.2(1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1}, m_j + m_t) &= \widetilde{f}_i(m_1, \ldots, \widehat{m_j}, \ldots, m_{t-1})(\widetilde{f}_i(0) - (t - 2))\\
&= a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}} s_j(A - E^{\triangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0, r](0[\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_i, r] - (t - 2))\\
&= a_{m_1,\ldots,m_{t-1}} s_j(A - E^{\triangle}_{i+inm_j})[\boldsymbol{e}^{\triangle}_i, r]
\end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Now we assume $m_j+m_t\neq 0$ for some $1\leqslant j\leqslant t-1$. Then we have $s_j=0$ and $h_j=(1+\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant t-1,\, s\neq j}\delta_{m_j+m_t,m_s})(\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant t-1}\delta_{m_j,m_s})^{-1}$. By the induction hypothesis and (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{f}_i(m_1,\dots,\widehat{m_j},\dots,m_{t-1},m_j+m_t)\\ &=a_{m_1,\dots,\widehat{m_j},\dots,m_{t-1},m_j+m_t}(A+E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+n(m_j+m_t)}-E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0,r]\\ &=a_{m_1,\dots,\widehat{m_j},\dots,m_{t-1}}(1+\sum_{1\leqslant s\leqslant t-1,\, s\neq j}\delta_{m_j+m_t,m_s})(A+E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+n(m_j+m_t)}-E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0,r]\\ &=a_{m_1,\dots,m_{t-1}}h_j(A+E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+n(m_j+m_t)}-E^{\vartriangle}_{i,i+nm_j})[0,r].\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows. □

Theorem 5.2. *The map η_r given in* (2.3) *induces an algebra isomorphism $\bar{\eta}_r:\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\to\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\bar{\eta}_r\circ\xi_r=\eta_r$, where ξ_r is defined in* (4.2). *In particular, $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is generated by*

$$\mathtt{e}_i,\mathtt{f}_i,\mathtt{1}_\lambda,\mathtt{e}_{i,i+nm}(1\leqslant i\leqslant n, m\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\},\lambda\in\Lambda_{\vartriangle}(n,r))$$

subject to the relations (R1)–(R10) *in* 4.1.

Proof. By 2.2 and 5.1, the map η_r induces a surjective algebra homomorphism

$$\bar{\eta}_r:\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)\to\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

such that $\bar{\eta}_r\circ\xi_r=\eta_r$. By 3.7 and 4.10 we see that the map $\bar{\eta}_r$ sends a spanning set of $\mathbf{T}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)$ to a basis of $\mathcal{S}_{\vartriangle}(n,r)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence $\bar{\eta}_r$ is an isomorphism. □

References

[1] A. A. Beilinson, G. Lusztig and R. MacPherson, *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n*, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 655–677.

[2] B. Deng, J. Du and Q. Fu, *A double Hall algebra approach to affine quantum Schur–Weyl theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, **401**, Cambridge University Press, 2012.

[3] S. Doty and A. Giaquinto, *Presenting Schur algebras,* Internat. Math. Res. Not. **36** (2002), 1907–1944.

[4] S. Doty and R. M. Green, *Presenting affine q-Schur algebras*, Math. Z. **256** (2007), 311–345.

[5] J. Du, *A note on the quantized Weyl reciprocity at roots of unity*, Alg. Colloq. **2** (1995), 363–372.

[6] J. Du and Q. Fu, *A modified BLM approach to quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Math. Z. **266** (2010), 747–781.

[7] J. Du and Q. Fu, *Quantum affine $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ via Hecke algebras*, Adv. Math. **282** (2015), 23–46.

[8] J. Du and Q. Fu, *The Integral quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, arXiv:1404.5679.

[9] J. Du and B. Parshall, *Monomial bases for q-Schur algebras,* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **355** (2003), 1593–1620.

[10] Q. Fu *On Schur algebras and little Schur algebras*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), 1637–1652.

[11] Q. Fu, *Integral affine Schur–Weyl reciprocity*, Adv. Math. **243** (2013), 1–21.

[12] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for the integral form of quantum $\mathfrak{gl}_n$*, Commun. Contemp. Math., DOI: 10.1142/S0219199715500194, in press.

[13] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for Frobenius–Lusztig Kernels of type A*, Math. Res. Lett., to appear.

[14] Q. Fu, *BLM realization for* $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, preprint, arXiv:1204.3142.

[15] V. Ginzburg and E. Vasserot, *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1993, 67–85.

[16] R. M. Green, *The affine q-Schur algebra*, J. Algebra **215** (1999), 379–411.

[17] G. Lusztig, *Aperiodicity in quantum affine* $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, Asian J. Math. **3** (1999), 147–177.

[18] M. Mackaay and A.-L. Thiel, *Categorifications of the extended affine Hecke algebra and the affine q-Schur algebra* $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}(n,r)$ *for* $3 \leqslant r < n$, preprint, arXiv:1302.3102.

[19] M. Mackaay and A.-L. Thiel, *A diagrammatic categorification of the affine q-Schur algebra* $\widehat{\mathbf{S}}(n,n)$ *for* $n \geqslant 3$, preprint, arXiv:1312.5958.

[20] K. McGerty, *Generalized q-Schur algebras and quantum Frobenius*, Adv. Math. **214** (2007), 116–131.

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.
E-mail address: `q.fu@hotmail.com, q.fu@tongji.edu.cn`

Department of Mathematics, Tongji University, Shanghai, 200092, China.
E-mail address: `mingqiangliu@163.com`